IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)) 8:03CR313
V.)
JOSE ANTONIO RAMIREZ-CORTEZ,) ORDER
Defendant.)))

Before the court are 1) defendant's Motion for Copies, Filing No. 85, and 2) defendant's Motion for Leave to Appeal in Forma Pauperis, Filing No. 86.

28 U.S.C. § 2250 contemplates the filing of a motion for collateral review of a conviction and a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis before free copies of the record or parts of the record may be furnished to the moving party. Section 2250 states:

If on any application for a writ of habeas corpus an order has been made permitting the petitioner to prosecute the application in forma pauperis, the clerk of any court of the United States shall furnish to the petitioner without cost certified copies of such documents or parts of the record on file in his office as may be required by order of the judge before whom the application is pending.

See also Chapman v. United States, 55 F.3d 390, 390-91 (8th Cir. 1995):

We held in <u>United States v. Losing</u>, 601 F.2d 351, 352 (8th Cir. 1979) (*per curiam*), that under 28 U.S.C. § 753(f) and under Supreme Court authority "any request for a free transcript prior to the filing of a section 2255 complaint is premature." We also held that under section 753(b), access to materials such as a transcript is not constitutionally required until after judicial certification that access is required to decide issues presented in a pending, non-frivolous case. <u>Id</u>. at 353. The district court correctly held that [the defendant] was not entitled to copies of transcripts at government expense in advance of filing suit.

Once a Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence by a Person in Federal Custody ("§ 2255 motion") is filed, 28 U.S.C. § 753(f) provides funds for a transcript if the defendant qualifies. "Fees for transcripts furnished in

proceedings brought under section 2255 of this title to persons permitted to sue or appeal in forma pauperis shall be paid by the United States out of money appropriated for that purpose if the trial judge or a circuit judge certifies that the suit or appeal is not frivolous and that the transcript is needed to decide the issue presented by the suit or appeal." <u>Id</u>.

Therefore, filing nos. 85 and 86 are denied at this time, but the motions may be reasserted after the filing of a § 2255 motion.

SO ORDERED.

DATED this 27th day of July, 2005.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Joseph F. Bataillon JOSEPH F. BATAILLON United States District Judge